

Hewitt, Andre

From: Ann Holmes <annholmes03@googlemail.com>
Sent: 07 January 2019 11:14
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: unit 9, 22-23 Bartholomew Close

I am writing to object to the licensing application for unit 9, 22-23 Bartholomew Close, on the grounds of the public nuisance likely to be caused by noise.

This unit is surrounded by residential units.

I consider the hours too late as, given the position of the entrance/exit, there will be noise nuisance from people leaving, after residents have gone to bed. I think all doors and windows being kept closed at all times is essential.

I am most strongly opposed to any pavement tables being allowed. These would mean considerable noise nuisance for residents. There would be constant noise from people talking at tables, noise from tables and chairs being cleared late at night and people being likely to disperse along Middlesex Passage, and the parts of Bartholomew Close, which are lined with residential properties.

I should be grateful if you would confirm receipt of this objection.

Yours

Ann Holmes

Flat 1, 43 Bartholomew Close, EC1A 7HN

Sent from my iPad

Hewitt, Andre

From: Raymond Clark, OBE <ray@medi.demon.co.uk>
Sent: 07 January 2019 10:29
To: M&CP - Licensing
Cc: Ann Holmes
Subject: Unit 9, 22 to 23 Bartholomew Close, EC1A 7BB

Dear Sir or Madam,

We write with respect to the planning application for Unit 9 at 22 /23, Bartholomew Close, EC1A 7BB.

As a general first comment we feel that objecting in principle to further restaurant and licensed premises in the regenerated area of Bartholomew close is futile as the premises were long ago designated for the proposed purposes within the overall planning scheme without any proper informed or democratic consultation regarding the effect that such premises would have on the regenerated area.

Nevertheless we do feel that if applications continue at this rate the area will be saturated with eating establishments which in turn will lead to an acceleration of cultural change within the once peaceful residential area. Can the Committee moderate this proliferation? A start would be to refuse this application.

We have previously objected to the use of pavement tables and the same objections regarding the cluttering of pavement areas etc. apply in this instance.

Previously we have noted that one former applicant was to move pavement tables inside the premises at close of business each day. We ask that this stipulation be extended to the current and all previous applications if pavement tables are agreed?

The hours of business are from 9 am to 10:30 pm seven days a week. We consider this excessive and would ask that Sunday at least should be day on which the premises are closed. City workers are not in the area on Saturday or Sunday so this should not be too much of a commercial difficulty.

We would also ask that for all such premises in Bartholomew Close, the hours of business are consistent in terms of the closing times to limit going home noise and crowding.

In this application the provision of CCTV is detailed (can it also be a requirement for other applications in Bartholomew close?) This application *says that all public areas of the licensed premises will be covered enabling frontal identification of every person entering.....* However in the case of customers using the pavement tables (should they be allowed) is not clear whether the CCTV would be able to accurately identify such people who may not enter the premises but remain at the outside tables. This point needs clarification in the application.

Yours faithfully,

Professor Raymond P. Clark, OBE
Professor Mervyn L. de Calcina-Goff, St.J

48 Spencer Heights
EC1A 7ES

Hewitt, Andre

From: James, Clare
Sent: 05 January 2019 11:23
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Half cup - licensing application

I wish to support local residents in objecting to this licensing application on the grounds of the excessively extensive and late hours 7 days a week, the noise disturbance to residents from outside tables, not just when occupied in business hours but when being stacked/put away after licensing hours. In addition there will be noise disturbance to residents from customers vacating the premises into the evening and especially at closing time.

Kind regards

Clare James, Deputy
Ward of Farringdon Within

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Deborah Tyler <tylerhodge@btinternet.com>
Sent: 05 January 2019 13:20
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Tables and chairs on public highway Bartholomew Close

A third licensing request has come up for outside seating in Bartholomew Close EC1A after Lino and Stem&Glory. The same response applies to all: as a local resident in what has been a very quiet location there is a worry about noise nuisance. This can be mitigated by:

1 keeping the permitted hours within a reasonable time so there is no disturbance in setting up early (not before 8am!) or packing up late (not after 10pm). This is to include staff time in setting up and clearing away, not just the hours that diners may use the tables.

For local people this disturbance is very unpleasant as it is all the time, not just for an exceptional event 2. Reducing noise by insisting on wooden furniture which lessens the noise of scraping on pavements, and banging of cutlery and crockery on metal or glass tables 3. Making a licence conditional on scrupulous management in keeping down the noise of diners and relocating any with raised voices to inside tables, as well as keeping restaurant doors closed and ensuring no music is audible outside the premises These points could help, in maintaining a nice environment for everyone - customers and those living nearby (who may also welcome an opportunity to sit outside at times!) Deborah Tyler Flat 2, 43 Bartholomew Close Sent from my iPad

Hewitt, Andre

From: Ben Winstanley <winstanley.ben@gmail.com>
Sent: 03 January 2019 21:30
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Unit 9 22/23 Bartholomew Close Objection

Hello,

I have reviewed the application for the above restaurant and have concerns about the application. In particular, the use of outside tables risks causing noise pollution, both by those at the tables and the staff clearing away the tables late each night. Up until now this has been a peaceful area of the City, inhabited by a large number of residents. The sound from the combined restaurants in the Barts Square development is likely to carry to 43 Bartholomew Close through the reopened Middlesex Passage, given the hard materials used for that passage.

Many thanks,

Ben Winstanley
Flat 4, 43 Bartholomew Close
London EC1A 7HN

Hewitt, Andre

From: Natasha Curran <natashacurran@gmail.com>
Sent: 03 January 2019 13:57
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Objections to Half Cup

Dear City of London Licensing

I write in reference to Half Cup/ Kuash Limited applying to sell alcohol and have pavement chairs and tables at Unit 9, 22-23 Bartholomew Close, London, EC1A 7BB:

<https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/business/licensing/alcohol-and-entertainment/alcohol-entertainment-applications/Pages/Half-Cup.aspx>

I object to this on grounds of noise disturbance and potential public order disturbance and litter. I particularly object to the application for long and early alcohol vending in a residential area. Authorising alcohol sales at 0900 may attract revellers finishing at local clubs like Fabric (I observed people from this club plus taxi drivers outside on the pavement at 0900 this week).

I also object to the use of pavement tables and chairs - despite the applicants assertions, the putting out and away of these, plus the customers sitting at them will cause significant noise disturbance for local residents.

Yours sincerely,
Natasha Curran

Flat 15, 43 Bartholomew Close.

Hewitt, Andre

From: rick <rick@rg-e.com>
Sent: 17 December 2018 19:30
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Licensing objection.

Hello Licensing

I would like to object to this license. We do not believe it needs such late licensing hours as those proposed. In addition, it is important that the proposed establishment ensures that doors and windows are kept closed at all times as there are residential units immediately above it and beside it.

In addition I would like to object to pavement tables being allowed as part of the new venture at Unit 9, 22/23 Bartholomew Close. We think permission for such tables will create the risk of major noise pollution for an area that has long been a very quiet and peaceful residential area. The noise will come from any patrons eating and drinking at the tables, from the clearing away and tidying up of the tables particularly late in the evening but also throughout the day and from the likelihood of people using Middlesex Passage as a way out of the area.

Yours faithfully,
Rick and Susie Carrington

Apt. 20,
43, Bartholomew Close
EC1A 7HN

Aznar, Stephen

From: Brendan Barns <brendan@londonbusinessforum.com>
Sent: 17 December 2018 11:47
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Unit 9 22/23 Bartholomew Close objection

Hello Licensing

I would like to object to this licensing application. I'm confused about whether the proposed venture is a coffee place, restaurant, bar or off licence. The venture seems to have an identity crisis. However, I do not believe it needs such late licensing hours as those proposed. In addition, it is important that the proposed establishment ensures that doors and windows are kept closed at all times as there are residential units immediately above it and beside it.

In addition I would like to object to pavement tables being allowed as part of the new venture at Unit 9, 22/23 Bartholomew Close. I think permission for such tables will create the risk of major noise pollution for an area that has long been a very quiet and peaceful residential area. The noise will come from any patrons eating and drinking at the tables, from the clearing away and tidying up of the tables particularly late in the evening but also throughout the day and from the likelihood of people using Middlesex Passage as a way out of the area.

Yours faithfully

**LONDON
BUSINESS
FORUM**

Brendan Barns
Founder
London Business Forum
t: 020 7600 4222
a: 38 Bartholomew Close, London EC1A 7HP
w: www.londonbusinessforum.com
e: brendan@londonbusinessforum.com

Hewitt, Andre

From: Peter Bowen <pmbowen@aol.com>
Sent: 14 December 2018 15:24
To: M&CP - Licensing
Subject: Unit 9, 22-23 Bartholomew Close, London, EC1A 7BB

I understand that an application has been made for a licence to serve alcohol at the above location. I would like to object to the application on the grounds that this unit is located in the middle of a quiet, residential area and that the Close has already had two other applications for licensed premises in recent months. When the consultation was carried out for the Helical Bar re-development, residents and local businesses were given assurances that there would only be one licensed premises in the whole development and this continuous encroachment of drinking establishments is now causing great distress to local residents. The area is already well serviced for alcohol and food by a plethora of establishments in the Smithfield area. These additional licensed premises are unnecessary and will destroy the quiet, residential character of our historic neighbourhood,

Peter Bowen
Flat 37
43 Bartholomew Close
London
EC1A 7HN